



# Assured Guaranty Ltd.

## June 30, 2011 Equity Investor Presentation

The financial statements contained herein should not be relied on because Assured Guaranty will be restating them. For additional information, see the Current Report on Form 8-K that Assured Guaranty filed on or about October 18, 2011 with the Securities and Exchange Commission on this matter. It is available on the SEC Filings page of [www.assuredguaranty.com](http://www.assuredguaranty.com).

Revised August 22, 2011

**ASSURED  
GUARANTY**<sup>®</sup>  
FAMILY OF COMPANIES

# Safe Harbor Disclosure



- Forward-looking statements are being made in this presentation that reflect the current views of Assured Guaranty Ltd. (“AGL” and, together with its subsidiaries, “Assured Guaranty” or the “Company”) with respect to future events and financial performance. They are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Actual results could differ materially from these statements. For example, Assured Guaranty’s forward looking statements could be affected by:
  - rating agency action, including a ratings downgrade or change in outlook at any time of Assured Guaranty Ltd. or any of its subsidiaries and/or of transactions insured by AGL’s subsidiaries, both of which have occurred in the past, or a change in rating criteria;
  - developments in the world’s financial and capital markets that adversely affect issuers’ payment rates, Assured Guaranty’s loss experience, its ability to cede exposure to reinsurers, its access to capital, its unrealized (losses) gains on derivative financial instruments or its investment returns;
  - changes in the credit markets, segments thereof or general economic conditions;
  - more severe or frequent losses implicating the adequacy of Assured Guaranty’s loss reserve;
  - the impact of market volatility on the mark-to-market of its contracts written in credit default swap form;
  - reduction in the amount of insurance and reinsurance opportunities available to Assured Guaranty;
  - deterioration in the financial condition of our reinsurers, the amount and timing of reinsurance recoverables actually received and the risk that reinsurers may dispute amounts owed to us under our reinsurance agreements;
  - the possibility that the Company will not realize insurance loss recoveries or damages expected from originators, sellers, sponsors, underwriters or servicers of residential mortgage-backed securities transactions
  - increased competition;
  - changes in applicable accounting policies or practices;
  - changes in applicable laws or regulations, including insurance and tax laws;
  - other governmental actions;
  - difficulties with the execution of Assured Guaranty’s business strategy;
  - contract cancellations;
  - Assured Guaranty’s dependence on customers;
  - loss of key personnel;
  - adverse technological developments;
  - the effects of mergers, acquisitions and divestitures;
  - natural or man-made catastrophes;
  - other risks and uncertainties that have not been identified at this time;
  - management’s response to these factors; and
  - other risk factors identified in Assured Guaranty’s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”).
- See Assured Guaranty’s SEC filings and latest earnings press release and financial supplement, which are available on its website, for more information on factors that could affect its forward-looking statements. Do not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which are made only as of August 9, 2011. Assured Guaranty does not undertake to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

# Conventions and Non-GAAP Financial Measures



- Unless otherwise noted, the following conventions are used in this presentation:
  - Ratings on our insured portfolio and on bonds purchased pursuant to loss mitigation or risk management strategies are Assured Guaranty's internal rating. Although the Company's ratings scale is similar to that used by the nationally recognized statistical rating organizations, the ratings may not be the same as ratings assigned by any such rating agency.
  - The super senior category, which is not generally used by rating agencies, is used by Assured Guaranty in instances where its AAA-rated exposure has additional credit enhancement due to either (1) the existence of another security rated AAA that is subordinated to Assured Guaranty's exposure or (2) Assured Guaranty's exposure benefitting from a different form of credit enhancement that would pay any claims first in the event that any of the exposures incurs a loss, and such credit enhancement, in management's opinion, causes Assured Guaranty's attachment point to be materially above the AAA attachment point.
  - Exposures rated below investment grade are designated "BIG".
  - Ratings on the investment portfolios are the lower of the ratings from Moody's Investors Service Inc. ("Moody's") or Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("S&P").
  - Percentages and totals in tables or graphs may not add due to rounding.
- This presentation references financial measures that are not in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), which management uses in order to assist analysts and investors in evaluating Assured Guaranty's financial results. These financial measures not in accordance with GAAP ("non-GAAP financial measures") are defined in the appendix. In each case, the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, if available, is presented, and a reconciliation of the non-GAAP financial measure and GAAP financial measure is provided. This presentation is consistent with how Assured Guaranty's management, analysts and investors evaluate Assured Guaranty's financial results and is comparable to estimates published by analysts in their research reports on Assured Guaranty.

## Assured Guaranty Ltd.

As of June 30, 2011

(\$ in billions)	
Net par outstanding	\$587.2
Total investment portfolio	\$11.2
Total assets <sup>1</sup>	\$19.2
Net unearned premium reserve <sup>2</sup>	\$5.5
Shareholders' equity	\$4.0
Claims-paying resources	\$13.1

1. Includes \$3.5 billion in financial guaranty VIE assets.

2. Unearned premium reserve net of ceded unearned premium reserve.

- **We are the world's leading financial guaranty franchise**
  - More than 22 years of experience in the municipal and structured finance markets
- **We serve the market through two platforms:**
  - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. ("AGM") focuses on public finance and infrastructure transactions
  - Assured Guaranty Corp. ("AGC") guarantees public finance, global infrastructure and structured finance transactions
- **We are also the largest financial guaranty reinsurer through Assured Guaranty Re Ltd. ("AG Re"), domiciled in Bermuda**

# Key Activities in Second Quarter 2011



**During second quarter 2011, we have been focused on three principal areas that affect our business:**

- **S&P's proposal for new rating agency criteria for bond insurers**
- **Loss mitigation**
- **New business development**

# Financial Strength Ratings Goals



- **We manage our business with the goal of attaining the highest financial strength ratings possible from Moody's and S&P**
- **S&P proposed criteria in January 2011 that, if implemented, could negatively affect our rating**
  - Our February 1, 2011 conference call and presentation addressed our concerns with some of the proposed rating criteria
  - S&P requested comments by March 25, 2011 and indicated that timing of final rating criteria is expected in 3Q-11 with publication of updated ratings by September 30, 2011
  - In connection with S&P's downgrade on August 5, 2011 of the long-term sovereign credit rating of the United States of America to AA+ (negative), on August 8, 2011, S&P changed the outlook of Assured Guaranty from stable to negative. S&P noted in its Research Update that the rating action did not reflect a change in S&P's view of fundamental credit characteristics of the Company.
- **Moody's annual review is in process**

## Financial Strength Ratings

As of August 8, 2011

	Moody's (rating/outlook)	S&P (rating/outlook)
<b>AGC</b>	Aa3 / negative	AA+ / negative
<b>AGM</b>	Aa3 / negative	AA+ / negative
<b>AG Re</b>	A1 / negative	AA / stable

# Our Initiatives to Address Potential S&P Capital Requirements



## Strategy

- **Actively pursue termination of contracts**
  - At beneficiary's request; keep all economics, possibly more
  - At our request; share economics with beneficiary
  - To eliminate high capital charges, share or possibly give up some economics
- **Pursue capital-accretive reinsurance commutations**
- **Purchase wrapped bonds**
- **Negotiate comprehensive agreements on representation and warranty ("R&W") claims**

## First Half of 2011 Results

- **Agreements to terminate \$8.9 billion of par, including \$7.7 billion of CDS notional, creating \$200-\$400 million of estimated capital benefit**
- **Reinsurance commutation resulting in pre-tax income of \$32.2 million, up from \$16.7 million in first six months of 2010**
- **Purchases of insured securities with par of \$556 million at a purchase price of \$243 million producing an estimated capital benefit of \$50-\$100 million**
- **Execution of Bank of America agreement**

**Result: Potential rating agency capital creation of \$2.3 billion**

# Bank of America Agreement



- **Since 2008, we have been pursuing reimbursement for breaches of R&W through mortgage putbacks.**
- **We resolved our R&W claims on 29 transactions with Bank of America/Countrywide on April 14, 2011.**
  - \$1.1 billion cash payment to be received by March 31, 2012; \$928 million was paid by June 30, 2011
  - Reimbursement<sup>1</sup> of 80% of paid losses on 21 first lien transactions until collateral losses in those securitizations equal \$6.6 billion; as of June 30, 2011, collateral losses are expected to be \$4.84 billion, generating \$630.9 million of estimated gross economic loss to Assured Guaranty's tranches, before consideration of R&W benefits
- **We continue to pursue reimbursement from other R&W providers; Deutsche Bank, UBS and Credit Suisse comprise most of our non-Bank of America future net R&W benefit as of June 30, 2011**

(\$ in millions)	Future Net R&W Benefit as of	
	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Bank of America/Countrywide	\$640.5	\$1,049.7
Other R&W providers (11)	1,003.2	621.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,643.7</b>	<b>\$1,670.7</b>

1. As of June 30, 2011, Bank of America had placed approximately \$1.0 billion of eligible assets in trust in order to collateralize the reimbursement obligation relating to the first lien transactions. The amount of assets required to be posted may increase or decrease from time to time, as determined by rating agency requirements.


# Other Capital Creation Initiatives



- **We also have a wrapped bond purchase program, in which we purchase bonds we have insured in order to reduce our losses**
  - Have purchased over \$1.1 billion of par on insured securities through June 30, 2011 with an initial purchase price of approximately \$510 million; \$1.0 billion of par remains outstanding
- **We generate excess capital as our RMBS and other structured finance exposures run off**
  - 26% of structured finance portfolio has run off since September 30, 2009
  - About 25% of our remaining structured finance portfolio is expected to run off from 2Q-11 through year-end 2012
  - U.S. RMBS runoff will free up the most capital; expect \$6.7 billion to run off in the second half of 2011 and 2012

## Structured Finance Run-off

(\$ in millions)



# Non-Rating Agency Initiatives




- **Aside from the S&P bond insurance rating criteria and related capital initiatives, we remain firmly focused on**
  - New business production, especially in public finance
  - Underwriting discipline
  - Loss mitigation strategies

# New Business Production



## U.S. New Issue Public Finance Insured Volume

(As of June 30, 2011)  
(\$ in billions)



- We are focused on building demand for our guaranties, which was challenging in the first half of 2011 and full year 2010 for several reasons:

- November 2009 downgrade to Aa3 (negative) by Moody's and October 2010 downgrade to AA+ (stable) by S&P
- Recalibration of public finance ratings by Moody's in 2010, which resulted in upgrades
- Proposed new criteria for rating bond insurers by S&P in 1Q-11
- Sharp decline in new issue volume in 2011 as a result of:
  - Increase issuance under expiring Build America Bonds ("BABs") program
  - Issuers' general reluctance in the current environment to incur additional debt

Total insured penetration: 6.3% 7.0% 7.8% 4.4% 4.9% 6.0%

Insured penetration of A and BBB market: 16.7% 21.0% 25.4% 13.3% 14.2% 17.1%

Source: SDC database, adjusted for underlying rating.

\*Insured securities include BABs, AA or not rated ("NR") securities that were insured by the Company.


# Exercise Underwriting Discipline



- **Our U.S. public finance portfolio, our largest exposure category, has performed well despite increased financial pressure on municipal obligors caused by the recession**
  - We have tightened our public finance underwriting standards
  - Out of approximately 11,000 direct public finance transactions we expect future losses to be paid, net of recoveries, on less than a dozen. In 2Q11 we made payments on only four.
- **Our principal losses in the last three years have been on U.S. RMBS due to the lack of adherence to underwriting standards by mortgage originators**
  - Neither AGC nor AGM underwrote collateralized debt obligations (“CDOs”) backed by RMBS, protecting us from losses on the scale experienced by our former competitors

## Consolidated Net Par Outstanding

As of June 30, 2011  
(\$ in millions)



**\$587.2 billion, A+ average rating**

# Utilize Reinsurance Platform



- **Reassumed reinsurance increased the unearned premium reserve and adjusted book value<sup>1</sup>**
  - Reassumed \$2.9 billion in 2009
  - Reassumed \$15.5 billion in 2010
  - Reassumed \$0.3 billion in first half of 2011<sup>2</sup>
- **Also trying to acquire high-quality portfolios from dormant companies**
  - Public finance as well as high-quality structured finance business, such as performing pooled corporate and commercial receivables

## Ceded Par Outstanding by Reinsurer

As of June 30, 2011

(\$ in billions)	Net Par Outstanding
<b>Radian</b>	\$20.9
<b>Tokio Marine</b>	18.0
<b>RAM Re</b>	12.7
<b>Syncora</b>	4.3
<b>Mitsui</b>	2.4
<b>Others</b>	2.6
<b>Total<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>\$60.9</b>

1. Please see the appendix for an explanation of this non-GAAP financial measure and a reconciliation to GAAP book value.

2. Excludes a cancellation of \$1.1 billion par reassumed by FGIC.

3. Includes financial guaranty contracts and contracts written in credit derivative form.

- **Proactive in creating rating agency capital relief through:**
  - Agreements to terminate financial guaranty and credit derivative contracts
  - Commutation of ceded reinsurance
  - Purchases of wrapped bonds
  - Negotiate comprehensive agreements on R&W claims
- **Continue to write new business, particularly U.S. public finance obligations**
  - Quarterly market share has increased since 4Q-10
  - Seek to underwrite structured or international infrastructure transactions with high return on equity (“ROE”)

# Financial Results

June 30, 2011



# Second Quarter 2011 Operating Results (Excluding Consolidation of VIEs)



(\$ in millions, except per share data)	Quarter Ended June 30,		% Change vs. 2Q-10
	2011	2010	
<b>Net earned premiums and credit derivative revenues<sup>1</sup></b>	\$296.7	\$359.4	(17)%
<b>Net investment income<sup>5</sup></b>	101.5	90.9	12%
<b>Total revenues included in operating income<sup>2</sup></b>	397.1	452.5	(12)%
<b>Loss &amp; LAE and incurred losses on credit derivatives<sup>3</sup></b>	144.0	123.6	17%
<b>Total expenses included in operating income</b>	226.7	205.6	10%
<b>Operating income<sup>2</sup></b>	136.3	172.0	(21)%
<b>Operating income<sup>2</sup> per diluted share</b>	<b>0.73</b>	<b>0.91</b>	(20)%
<b>Operating ROE<sup>2,4</sup></b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	(5.1) pp
<b>After-tax gain (loss) on investments/derivatives</b>	\$(72.8)	\$36.3	NM
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	(57.7)	203.5	NM
<b>Net income (loss) per diluted share</b>	<b>(0.31)</b>	<b>1.08</b>	NM

NM = Not meaningful pp = percentage points

1. Included \$18.3 million and \$15.6 million related to financial guaranty variable interest entities ("VIEs") that was eliminated during quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, in GAAP reported results due to consolidation.
2. The Company revised its definition of operating income in second quarter 2010 to exclude foreign exchange revaluation gains and losses on premiums receivable. For an explanation of operating income and operating ROE, both non-GAAP financial measures, and a reconciliation of operating income to net income, which is the most comparable GAAP term, please refer to the appendix.
3. Included \$19.6 million and \$24.3 million that was eliminated during the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, in GAAP reported results due to consolidation of VIEs.
4. ROE calculations represent annualized returns.
5. Included \$0.7 million and \$0.0 million that was eliminated during the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, in GAAP reported results due to consolidation of VIEs.

# Financial Performance Since July 1, 2009\*



## Selected Quarterly Financial Results

As of June 30, 2011

\$ in millions (except per share data)	3Q-09	4Q-09	1Q-10	2Q-10	3Q-10	4Q-10	1Q-11	2Q-11	Cumulative \$ or % change
<b>Net earned premiums<sup>1</sup></b>	\$387.3	\$426.5	\$380.3	\$359.4	\$352.2	\$352.7	\$334.1	\$296.7	<b>\$2,889.2</b>
<b>Net investment income</b>	84.7	87.6	84.3	90.9	85.6	93.9	96.4	101.5	<b>724.9</b>
<b>Loss and LAE<sup>2</sup></b>	275.5	187.0	216.9	123.6	136.0	215.5	25.0	144.0	<b>1,323.5</b>
<b>Other operating expenses</b>	67.3	51.0	62.6	47.4	52.2	49.3	56.8	48.5	<b>435.1</b>
<b>Operating Income<sup>3</sup></b>	46.2	156.4	112.6	172.0	222.8	152.9	248.9	136.3	<b>1,248.1</b>
<b>Operating shareholders' equity per share<sup>3</sup></b>	\$22.19	\$22.49	\$23.02	\$23.87	\$25.17	\$25.92	\$27.18	\$27.84	<b>25%</b>

1. Includes credit derivative revenues and excludes effect of consolidation of VIEs effective January 1, 2010.

2. Includes losses incurred on credit derivatives and excludes effect of consolidation of VIEs effective January 1, 2010.


3. The Company revised its definition of operating income in 2010 to exclude foreign exchange revaluation gains and losses on premiums receivable. Prior periods are presented on a consistent basis. For an explanation of operating income, operating shareholders' equity and adjusted book value, all non-GAAP financial measures, and a reconciliation of operating income to net income, which is the most comparable GAAP term, please refer to the appendix

\* On July 1, 2009, Assured Guaranty closed the acquisition of Assured Guaranty Municipal Holdings Inc. and its subsidiaries.

# Operating Shareholders' Equity and Adjusted Book Value Per Share Growth



## Adjusted Book Value<sup>1</sup> Per Share



■ Operating shareholders' equity per share

■ Net present value of estimated net future credit derivative revenue in force and net unearned revenue on credit derivatives, after tax

■ Net unearned premium reserve on financial guaranty contracts in excess of net expected loss to be expensed less deferred acquisition costs, after tax

1. For explanations of adjusted book value and net present value of estimated net future credit derivative revenue and operating shareholders' equity, which are non-GAAP financial measures, please refer to the appendix. Effective January 1, 2010, GAAP accounting required the consolidation of VIEs where the Company is determined to be the control party through rights under our financial guaranty insurance contracts. For those VIEs that the Company consolidates, it records all of the activities of the VIEs and eliminates the related insurance accounting. Operating shareholders' equity reverses the financial effect of consolidating these entities and accounts for them as financial guaranty insurance contracts in order to present the Company's insured obligations on a consistent basis.

- **Loss expenses included in operating income does not represent the Company's economic loss development in a period**
  - All losses must be calculated on a transaction by transaction basis, and each transaction's expected loss estimate, net of R&W estimated recoveries, is compared to the deferred premium reserve of that transaction
  - When the expected loss exceeds the deferred premium revenue, a loss is recognized in the statement of operating income for the amount of such excess
  - Refer to the loss accounting policy in our Form 10-K for further information describing some of the other complexities involved in the timing of loss recognition
  - A portion of loss expense reflects the amortization of expected loss to be expensed on contracts where the deferred premium revenue included in the unearned premium reserve is absorbing losses; expected loss to be expensed before consolidation of VIEs at June 30, 2011 totaled \$849.4 million (\$560.5 million after tax or \$3.04 per share)

# Economic Loss Development



- **Management uses economic loss development to evaluate the change in expected losses to be paid from period to period before consideration of the deferred premium revenue on the contract**
  - This measures the change in expected losses from period to period whether the loss exceeds the deferred premium revenue or not
  - Economic loss development calculates the expected change in future losses due to change in delinquencies, interest rates, loss severities and other factors that affect ultimate loss experience

(\$ in millions, except per share data)	2Q-11	2Q-10
<b>Loss and LAE on FG and CDS included in operating income:</b>		
Before tax	\$144.0	\$123.6
After tax	97.8	93.8
Per diluted share	0.52	0.50
<b>Economic loss development</b>		
Before tax	\$70.9	\$66.2
After tax	49.6	56.2
Per diluted share	0.26	0.30

# Portfolio Overview

June 30, 2011



# Three Discrete Operating Companies With Separate Capital Bases



## Consolidated Claims-Paying Resources and Statutory-Basis Exposures<sup>1</sup>

As of June 30, 2011					
(\$ in millions)	Assured Guaranty Corp.	Assured Guaranty Re Ltd. <sup>1</sup>	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.	Eliminations <sup>2</sup>	Consolidated
<b>Claims-paying resources</b>					
Policyholders' surplus	\$ 1,066	\$ 1,165	\$ 1,309	\$ (300)	\$ 3,240
Contingency reserve	574	-	1,741	-	2,315
<b>Qualified statutory capital</b>	<b>1,640</b>	<b>1,165</b>	<b>3,050</b>	<b>(300)</b>	<b>5,555</b>
Unearned premium reserve	848	1,034	2,255	-	4,137
Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves <sup>3,4</sup>	482	260	491	-	1,233
<b>Total policyholders' surplus and reserves</b>	<b>2,970</b>	<b>2,459</b>	<b>5,796</b>	<b>(300)</b>	<b>10,925</b>
Present value of installment premium <sup>4</sup>	431	250	635	-	1,316
Standby line of credit/stop loss	200	200	498	-	898
<b>Total claims-paying resources</b>	<b>\$ 3,601</b>	<b>\$ 2,909</b>	<b>\$ 6,929</b>	<b>\$ (300)</b>	<b>\$ 13,139</b>
Net par outstanding <sup>5</sup>	\$ 110,653	\$ 134,030	\$ 329,605	\$ (1,704)	\$ 572,584
Net debt service outstanding <sup>5</sup>	161,460	217,224	496,122	(3,950)	\$ 870,856
<b>Ratios:</b>					
Net par outstanding to qualified statutory capital	67:1	115:1	108:1		103:1
Capital ratio <sup>6</sup>	98:1	186:1	163:1		157:1
Financial resources ratio <sup>7</sup>	45:1	75:1	72:1		66:1

1. AG Re numbers are the Company's estimate of U.S. statutory, as this company files Bermuda statutory financial statements.

2. In 2009, AGC issued a \$300.0 million note payable to AGM. Net par and net debt service outstanding eliminations represent second-to-pay policies between Assured Guaranty's insurance subsidiaries.

3. Reserves are reduced by approximately \$1.5 billion for benefit related to representation and warranty recoverables.

4. Includes financial guaranty insurance and credit derivatives.

5. Net par outstanding and net debt service outstanding are presented on a statutory basis. Under statutory accounting, such amounts would be reduced both when an outstanding issue is legally defeased (i.e., the rights and interests of bondholders and their lien on pledged revenues or other security are terminated in accordance with bond documentation) and when such issue is economically defeased (i.e., bond documentation does not provide a procedure for termination of such rights, interests and liens other than through payment of all outstanding debt in full; funds are deposited in an escrow account for future payment of the debt; and if the funds deposited prove insufficient to pay the outstanding debt in full, the issuer continues to be legally obligated to make payment on such debt).

6. The capital ratio is calculated by dividing net debt service outstanding by qualified statutory capital.

7. The financial resources ratio is calculated by dividing net debt service outstanding by total claims-paying resources.


# Net Par Outstanding Diversified By Sector



- **Assured Guaranty’s portfolio is largely concentrated in U.S. public finance**
  - 70% U.S. public finance
  - 18% U.S. structured finance
  - 12% International
- **Our portfolio has an A+ average internal credit rating**
  - 95.3% investment grade
- **RMBS is our largest BIG exposure**
  - \$15.9 billion (57% of total BIG) is U.S. RMBS
  - Plus \$923 million of triple-X life securitization deals with assets invested in RMBS
  - Plus \$4.4 billion of BIG-rated pooled corporates, largely Mortgage and Real Estate Investment Trust (“REIT”), Trust Preferred Securities (“TruPS”) and bank and insurance TruPS

## Consolidated Net Par Outstanding

As of June 30, 2011  
(\$ in millions)




**\$587.2 billion, A+ average rating**

# U.S. Public Finance Net Par Outstanding



## U.S. Public Finance

As of June 30, 2011  
(\$ in billions)



**\$413.3 billion**

- **\$413.3 billion of U.S. public finance net par outstanding, 70% of our total as of June 30, 2011**
- **General obligation, tax-backed and municipal utilities represent 79% of U.S. public finance net par outstanding**
  - 55% of total net par outstanding
- **Average internal rating of A+**
- **\$2.9 billion is BIG exposure**
  - \$2.4 billion of the BIG exposure is for 15 public finance transactions greater than \$50 million


# U.S. Public Finance

## Net Par Outstanding by Bankruptcy Eligibility



### U.S. Public Finance

As of June 30, 2011  
(\$ in billions)



- **As of June 30, 2011 only about 40% of our public finance exposures are eligible to file Chapter 9 or Chapter 11 bankruptcy**
  - 20% secured by special revenue
  - 41% not eligible for Chapter 9 due to lack of enabling state legislation

Disclosure Sector (\$ in billions)	Not Eligible for Bankruptcy	Secured By Special Revenue¹	Eligible to File Chapter 9	Eligible to File Chapter 11	Net Par Outstanding	Weighted Avg. Rating
General obligation	\$53.6	\$0.0	\$122.6	-	\$176.2	A+
Tax backed	50.1	11.7	19.0	-	80.8	A+
Municipal utilities	19.7	48.1	-	-	67.8	A
Transportation	13.7	22.0	0.4	-	36.1	A
Healthcare	5.2	-	0.1	\$15.0	20.3	A
Higher education	14.2	-	-	1.4	15.5	A+
Housing	3.7	-	1.4	1.0	6.1	AA-
Infrastructure finance	2.7	0.0	-	1.5	4.2	BBB+
Investor-owned utilities	0.0	-	-	1.2	1.2	A-
Other public finance	4.7	-	-	0.4	5.1	A-
<b>Total U.S. Public Finance:</b>	<b>\$167.5</b>	<b>\$81.7</b>	<b>\$143.6</b>	<b>\$20.5</b>	<b>\$413.3</b>	<b>A+</b>


1. Special revenue secured bonds are not subject to an automatic stay under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code.

# Consolidated International Finance Net Par Outstanding




## International Finance

As of June 30, 2011  
(\$ in billions)



**\$69.9 billion, A+ average rating**

## Exposures to PIIGS<sup>1</sup>



**\$3.5 billion, A- average rating**

- Exposure to lower rated countries remains limited
- Within those countries, most policies continue to be investment grade rated

1. The acronym PIIGS refers to the economies of Portugal, Italy, Ireland, Greece, and Spain.


# Structured Finance Exposures Net Par Outstanding



(\$ in billions)


## By Type

As of June 30, 2011



**\$132.7 billion, AA- average rating**

## By Internal Rating



- **We expect Assured Guaranty's global structured finance insured portfolio (\$132.7 billion as of June 30, 2011) to run off rapidly — 25% by year-end 2012 and 58% by year-end 2014.**
  - \$81.8 billion in global pooled corporate obligations expected to be reduced by 24% by year-end 2012 and by 64% by year-end 2014
  - \$23.3 billion in U.S. RMBS expected to be reduced by 29% by year-end 2012 and by 52% by year-end 2014
- **Assured Guaranty and AGM's total structured finance exposures of \$240.9 billion at December 31, 2007 have declined by \$108.2 billion to \$132.7 billion through June 30, 2011, a 45% reduction.**


# Consolidated U.S. RMBS



- **Our \$23.3 billion U.S. RMBS portfolio is amortizing on an absolute basis and as a percentage of the portfolio**
  - U.S. RMBS represents 4.0% of total net par outstanding at June 30, 2011 versus 8.3% at year-end 2008
  - Total U.S. RMBS has declined from \$30.2 billion at September 30, 2009 to \$23.3 billion at June 30, 2011, a \$6.9 billion or 23% reduction
- **Our loss reserving methodology is driven by our assumptions on several factors with a key variable on new delinquencies:**
  - Conditional default rate
  - Constant prepayment rate
  - Excess spread
  - Loss severity
- **We have several initiatives aimed at reducing ultimate losses**

## U.S. RMBS by Exposure Type

As of June 30, 2011  
(\$ in billions)



**\$23.3 billion**  
**(4.0% of net par outstanding)**

1. NIMs= Net Interest Margin securities


# U.S. RMBS Exposure Continues to Decline



- U.S. RMBS has declined 23% from September 30, 2009 through June 30, 2011, or approximately \$1 billion per quarter
- Below investment grade RMBS peaked at March 31, 2010 and has since declined approximately \$1.4 billion

## U.S. RMBS by Rating


Net Par Outstanding from September 30, 2009 to June 30, 2011



# Second Lien Delinquencies For Financial Guaranty Direct Transactions Originated 2005-2008<sup>1</sup>



## Troubled HELOCs 30-59 Days




1. Assured Guaranty has not insured any U.S. RMBS since 2008.

Reflects actual AGC and AGM direct data.

# First Lien 30-59 Day Delinquencies For Financial Guaranty Direct Transactions Originated 2005-2008



## Option ARMs 30-59 Days




Reflects actual AGC and AGM direct data.

# First Lien 30-59 Day Delinquencies For Financial Guaranty Direct Transactions Originated 2005-2008



## Alt-A 30-59 Days




Reflects actual AGC and AGM direct data.

# First Lien 30-59 Day Delinquencies For Financial Guaranty Direct Transactions Originated 2005-2008<sup>1</sup>



## Subprime 30-59 Days



1. Excludes 1 deal with approximately \$80 million of net par outstanding.  
Reflects actual AGC and AGM direct data.


# U.S. CMBS Exposure



- **We have three types of U.S. commercial mortgage-backed securities (“CMBS”) transactions**
  - Traditional CMBS - \$3.6 billion
  - Collateralized debt obligation (“CDOs”) of commercial real estate (“CRE”) - \$664 million
  - CDOs of CMBS - \$226 million
  - We also have assumed par of \$369 million
- **We have maintained a conservative underwriting stance on CMBS**
  - Low levels of CMBS historically
  - Focus on senior exposures and whole loans
  - AGM did not underwrite CMBS
- **Our portfolio was largely triple-A at underwriting and remains highly rated as of June 30, 2011**
  - Most deals written with triple-A rating at inception with high attachment points
  - One deal was single-A at underwriting
  - Approximately 90% of traditional CMBS exposure had internal rating of AAA or higher as of June 30, 2011

## U.S. CMBS by Exposure Type

As of June 30, 2011  
(\$ in billions)



**\$4.9 billion**  
**(0.8% of total net par outstanding)**

# Direct Pooled Corporate Obligations


## Credit Quality Remains High



- **Our direct pooled corporate exposure is highly rated and well-protected**
  - Average credit enhancement of 29.4%
  - 84.7% rated super senior or AAA
- **\$2.3 billion of U.S. mortgage and REIT TruPS are the lowest rated asset classes**
  - Average rating BB
  - Credit enhancement at June 30, 2011 remains high at 31.9%, although ratings of collateral have been downgraded sharply due to real estate market and recession

### Direct Pooled Corporate Obligations By Asset Class

As of June 30, 2011  
(\$ in billions)



**\$80.4 billion, AAA average rating**

- CLOs/CBOs 1
- Synthetic investment grade pooled corporate
- Synthetic high yield pooled corporate
- Market value CDOs of corporate
- TruPS - banks and insurance
- TruPS - US mortgage and REITs
- TruPS - European mortgage and REITs
- Other pooled corporate

1. CLOs are collateralized loan obligations. CBOs are collateralized bond obligations.

# BIG Exposures by Category

## Net Par Outstanding



### Financial Guaranty Insurance and Credit Derivatives Surveillance Categories<sup>1</sup>

- The majority of our BIG exposures in categories 2 and 3 are in structured finance – specifically RMBS
- Category 1 BIG, which show sufficient deterioration to make lifetime losses possible, but where none are expected, were \$10.1 billion at June 30, 2011

(\$ in millions)	June 30, 2011 <sup>2</sup>	December 31, 2010 <sup>2</sup>
<b>Category 1</b>		
U.S. public finance	\$ 1,881	\$ 1,958
Non-U.S. public finance	2,360	1,794
U.S. structured finance	5,058	4,717
Non-U.S. structured finance	808	293
Total Category 1	10,107	8,762
<b>Category 2</b>		
U.S. public finance	204	282
Non-U.S. public finance	-	1
U.S. structured finance	7,593	8,818
Non-U.S. structured finance	18	2
Total Category 2	7,815	9,103
<b>Category 3</b>		
U.S. public finance	865	919
Non-U.S. public finance	-	-
U.S. structured finance	7,990	7,023
Non-U.S. structured finance	998	999
Total Category 3	9,853	8,941
<b>BIG Total</b>	<b>\$ 27,775</b>	<b>\$ 26,806</b>

1. Assured Guaranty's surveillance department is responsible for monitoring our portfolio of credits and maintains a list of below investment grade ("BIG") credits. During the fourth quarter of 2010 the Company revised the definitions of the three BIG surveillance categories to more closely track its view of whether a transaction is expected to experience a loss, without regard to whether the probability weighted expected loss exceeded the unearned premium reserve. While the revisions resulted in a number of transactions moving between BIG categories, the Company estimates that the revisions had a relatively small impact on the totals in each category. BIG Category 1: Below investment grade transactions showing sufficient deterioration to make lifetime losses possible, but for which none are currently expected. Transactions on which claims have been paid but are expected to be fully reimbursed (other than investment grade transactions on which only liquidity claims have been paid) are in this category. BIG Category 2: Below investment grade transactions for which lifetime losses are expected but for which no claims (other than liquidity claims) have yet been paid. BIG Category 3: Below investment grade transactions for which lifetime losses are expected and on which claims (other than liquidity claims) have been paid. Transactions remain in this category when claims have been paid and only a recoverable remains.
2. Securities purchased for loss mitigation purposes represented \$1,029.3 million and \$489.3 million of gross par outstanding as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. In addition, under the terms of certain credit derivative contracts, the Company has obtained the underlying collateral of transactions and recorded it in invested assets in the consolidated balance sheets. Such amounts totaled \$246.9 million and \$251.8 million in gross par outstanding as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

# BIG Exposures > \$250 Million



## BIG Exposures Greater Than \$250 Million as of June 30, 2011

(\$ in millions)


<u>Name or Description</u>	<u>Net Par Outstanding</u>	<u>Internal Rating</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Current Credit Enhancement</u>	<u>60+ Day Delinquencies</u> <sup>2</sup>
Deutsche Alt-A Securities Mortgage Loan 2007-2	\$ 841	CCC	0.0%	29.3%
Reliance Rail Finance Pty. Limited	717	BB	-	-
MABS 2007-NCW	569	B	31.7%	64.8%
Ballantyne RE, PLC (includes \$106.4 million repurchased) <sup>3</sup>	500	CC	N/A	-
Jefferson County Alabama Sewer	496	D	-	-
Countrywide HELOC 2006-I	478	CCC	0.0%	7.6%
M6 Duna Autopalya Koncesszios Zartkoruen Mukodo Reszvenytarsasag	477	BB+	-	-
MASTR 2007-3	452	CCC	0.0%	54.6%
Private Residential Mortgage Transaction	430	B	18.8%	30.7%
Mortgage IT Securities Corp. Mortgage Loan 2007-2	429	B	9.5%	15.4%
Private Residential Mortgage Transaction	427	BB	20.3%	29.4%
Orkney Re II, Plc	423	CCC	N/A	-
Countrywide HELOC 2006-F (includes \$33.7 million repurchased) <sup>3</sup>	395	CCC	0.0%	17.9%
Detroit (City of) Michigan	385	BB	-	-
Deutsche Alt-A Securities Mortgage Loan 2007-3	385	B	4.9%	22.7%
Private Residential Mortgage Transaction	383	CCC	21.6%	32.3%
Option One 2007-FXD2	380	CCC	16.4%	31.2%
Private Residential Mortgage Transaction	374	BB	19.8%	27.4%
CWALT Alternative Loan Trust 2007-HY9	346	B	4.9%	46.4%
Private Residential Mortgage Transaction	345	CCC	11.2%	35.0%
Nomura Asset Accept. Corp. 2007-1 (includes \$0.8 million repurchased) <sup>3</sup>	340	CCC	0.0%	37.5%
Cross City Tunnel Motorway Finance Limited	319	BB	-	-
Hellenic Republic	315	B	-	-
AAA Trust 2007-2	309	CCC	30.1%	43.8%
Harborview 2006-12	303	B	7.2%	59.7%
Countrywide Home Equity Loan Trust 2007-D	296	CCC	0.0%	8.3%
Countrywide Home Equity Loan Trust 2005-J	296	CCC	0.0%	17.0%
Taberna Preferred Funding IV, Ltd	292	CCC	24.5%	-
Taberna Preferred Funding III, Ltd	287	CCC	15.4%	-
Countrywide HELOC 2005-D	279	CCC	0.0%	12.3%
Aeroporti Di Roma (ADR) Romulus Finance S.R.L. (Rome Airport)	273	BB	-	-
Countrywide 2007-13	264	B	30.6%	56.2%
Alesco Preferred Funding XVI, Ltd	257	B	7.3%	-
Countrywide HELOC 2007-A (includes \$23 million repurchased)	250	CCC	0.0%	7.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 13,312</b>			

1. Assured Guaranty's internal rating. Although the Company's ratings scale is similar to that used by the nationally recognized statistical rating organizations, the ratings in the above table may not be the same as ratings assigned by any such rating agency.

2. 60+ day delinquencies are defined as loans that are greater than 60 days delinquent and all loans that are in foreclosure, bankruptcy or REO divided by net par outstanding.

3. Represents amounts of gross par that were purchased or obtained as part of loss mitigation strategies and recorded as part of the investment portfolio.

# Appendix



# Appendix

## Explanation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures



### Endnotes related to non-GAAP financial measures discussed in the presentation:

The Company references financial measures that are not in accordance with GAAP. Assured Guaranty's management and board of directors utilize non-GAAP measures in evaluating the Company's financial performance and as a basis for determining senior management incentive compensation. By providing these non-GAAP financial measures, investors, analysts and financial news reporters have access to the same information that management reviews internally. In addition, Assured Guaranty's presentation of non-GAAP financial measures is consistent with how analysts calculate their estimates of Assured Guaranty's financial results in their research reports on Assured Guaranty and with how investors, analysts and the financial news media evaluate Assured Guaranty's financial results.

The following paragraphs define each non-GAAP financial measure and describe why it is useful. A reconciliation of the non-GAAP financial measure and the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, if available, is presented within this presentation. Non-GAAP financial measures should not be viewed as substitutes for their most directly comparable GAAP measures.

**Operating Income:** Management believes that operating income is a useful measure because it clarifies the understanding of the underwriting results of the Company's financial guaranty insurance business, and also includes financing costs and net investment income, and enables investors and analysts to evaluate the Company's financial results as compared with the consensus analyst estimates distributed publicly by financial databases. Operating income is defined as net income (loss) attributable to Assured Guaranty Ltd., as reported under GAAP, adjusted for the following:

1. Elimination of the after-tax realized gains (losses) on the Company's investments, except for gains and losses on securities classified as trading. The timing of realized gains and losses, which depends largely on market credit cycles, can vary considerably across periods. The timing of sales is largely subject to the Company's discretion and influenced by market opportunities, as well as the Company's tax and capital profile. Trends in the underlying profitability of the Company's business can be more clearly identified without the fluctuating effects of these transactions.
2. Elimination of the after-tax non-credit-impairment unrealized fair value gains (losses) on credit derivatives, which is the amount in excess of the present value of the expected estimated economic credit losses and non-economic payments. Such fair value adjustments are heavily affected by, and in part fluctuate with, changes in market interest rates, credit spreads and other market factors and are not expected to result in an economic gain or loss. Additionally, such adjustments present all financial guaranty contracts on a more consistent basis of accounting, whether or not they are subject to derivative accounting rules.
3. Elimination of the after-tax fair value gains (losses) on the Company's committed capital securities. Such amounts are heavily affected by, and in part fluctuate with, changes in market interest rates, credit spreads and other market factors and are not expected to result in an economic gain or loss.
4. Elimination of the after-tax foreign exchange gains (losses) on revaluation of net premium receivables. Long-dated receivables constitute a significant portion of the net premium receivable balance and represent the present value of future contractual or expected collections. Therefore, the current period's foreign exchange revaluation gains (losses) are not necessarily indicative of the total foreign exchange gains (losses) that the Company will ultimately recognize.
5. Elimination of the effects of consolidating certain financial guaranty VIEs in order to present all financial guaranty contracts on a more consistent basis of accounting, whether or not GAAP requires consolidation. GAAP requires the Company to consolidate certain VIEs that have issued debt obligations insured by the Company even though the Company does not own such VIEs.

# Appendix (Cont'd)

## Explanation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures



**Operating Shareholders' Equity:** Management believes that operating shareholders' equity is a useful measure because it presents the equity of Assured Guaranty Ltd. with all financial guaranty contracts accounted for on a more consistent basis and excludes fair value adjustments that are not expected to result in economic loss. Many investors, analysts and financial news reporters use operating shareholders' equity as the principal financial measure for valuing Assured Guaranty Ltd.'s current share price or projected share price and also as the basis of their decision to recommend, buy or sell Assured Guaranty Ltd.'s common shares. Many of the Company's fixed income investors also use operating shareholders' equity to evaluate the Company's capital adequacy. Operating shareholders' equity is the basis of the calculation of adjusted book value (see below). Operating shareholders' equity is defined as shareholders' equity attributable to Assured Guaranty Ltd., as reported under GAAP, adjusted for the following:

1. Elimination of the effects of consolidating certain VIEs in order to present all financial guaranty contracts on a more consistent basis of accounting, whether or not GAAP requires consolidation. GAAP requires the Company to consolidate certain VIEs that have issued debt obligations insured by the Company even though the Company does not own such VIEs.
2. Elimination of the after-tax non-credit-impairment unrealized fair value gains (losses) on credit derivatives, which is the amount in excess of the present value of the expected estimated economic credit losses and non-economic payments. Such fair value adjustments are heavily affected by, and in part fluctuate with, changes in market interest rates, credit spreads and other market factors and are not expected to result in an economic gain or loss.
3. Elimination of the after-tax fair value gains (losses) on the Company's committed capital securities. Such amounts are heavily affected by, and in part fluctuate with, changes in market interest rates, credit spreads and other market factors and are not expected to result in an economic gain or loss.
4. Elimination of the after-tax unrealized gains (losses) on the Company's investments that are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) (excluding foreign exchange revaluation). The AOCI component of the fair value adjustment on the investment portfolio is not deemed economic because the Company generally holds these investments to maturity and therefore will not recognize an economic loss.

**Operating return on equity ("Operating ROE"):** Operating ROE represents operating income for a specified period divided by the average of operating shareholders' equity at the beginning and the end of that period. Management believes that operating ROE is a useful measure to evaluate the Company's return on invested capital. Many investors, analysts and members of the financial news media use operating ROE to evaluate Assured Guaranty Ltd.'s share price and as the basis of their decision to recommend, buy or sell the Assured Guaranty Ltd. common shares. Quarterly and year-to-date operating ROE are calculated on an annualized basis.

# Appendix (Cont'd)

## Explanation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures



**Adjusted Book Value:** Management believes that adjusted book value is a useful measure because it enables an evaluation of the net present value of the Company's in-force premiums and revenues in addition to operating shareholders' equity. The premiums and revenues included in adjusted book value will be earned in future periods, but actual earnings may differ materially from the estimated amounts used in determining current adjusted book value due to changes in, foreign exchange rates, refinancing or refunding activity, prepayment speeds, terminations, credit defaults and other factors. Many investors, analysts and financial news reporters use adjusted book value to evaluate Assured Guaranty Ltd.'s share price and as the basis of their decision to recommend, buy or sell the Assured Guaranty Ltd. common shares. Adjusted book value is operating shareholders' equity, as defined above, further adjusted for the following:

1. Elimination of after-tax deferred acquisition costs. These amounts represent net deferred expenses that have already been paid or accrued and will be expensed in future accounting periods.
2. Addition of the after-tax net present value of estimated net future credit derivative revenue. See below.
3. Addition of the after-tax value of the unearned premium reserve on financial guaranty contracts in excess of expected loss to be expensed, net of reinsurance. This amount represents the expected future net earned premiums, net of expected losses to be expensed. Net expected losses to be expensed are not reflected in GAAP equity.

**Net present value of estimated net future credit derivative revenue:** Management believes that this amount is a useful measure because it enables an evaluation of the value of future estimated credit derivative revenue. There is no corresponding GAAP financial measure. This amount represents the present value of estimated future revenue from the Company's credit derivative in-force book of business, net of reinsurance, ceding commissions and premium taxes for contracts without expected economic losses, and is discounted at 6% (which represents the Company's tax-equivalent pre-tax investment yield on its investment portfolio). Estimated net future credit derivative revenue may change from period to period due to changes in foreign exchange rates, prepayment speeds, terminations, credit defaults or other factors that affect par outstanding or the ultimate maturity of an obligation.

**PVP or present value of new business production:** Management believes that PVP is a useful measure because it enables the evaluation of the value of new business production for Assured Guaranty by taking into account the value of estimated future installment premiums on all new contracts underwritten in a reporting period as well as premium supplements and additional installment premium on existing contracts as to which the issuer has the right to call the insured obligation but has not exercised such right, whether in insurance or credit derivative contract form, which GAAP gross premiums written and the net credit derivative premiums received and receivable portion of net realized gains and other settlement on credit derivatives ("Credit Derivative Revenues") do not adequately measure. PVP in respect of insurance and credit derivative contracts written in a specified period is defined as gross upfront and installment premiums received and the present value of gross estimated future installment premiums, in each case, discounted at 6% (the Company's tax-equivalent pre-tax investment yield on its investment portfolio). For purposes of the PVP calculation, management discounts estimated future installment premiums on insurance contracts at 6%, while under GAAP, these amounts are discounted at a risk-free rate. Additionally, under GAAP, management records future installment premiums on financial guaranty insurance contracts covering non-homogeneous pools of assets based on the contractual term of the transaction, whereas for PVP purposes, management records an estimate of the future installment premiums the Company expects to receive, which may be based upon a shorter period of time than the contractual term of the transaction. Actual future net earned or written premiums and Credit Derivative Revenues may differ from PVP due to factors including, but not limited to, changes in foreign exchange rates, refinancing or refunding activity, prepayment speeds, terminations, credit defaults, or other factors that affect par outstanding or the ultimate maturity of an obligation.

# Appendix

## PVP<sup>1</sup> – Reconciliation to Gross Written Premiums ("GWP")



(\$ in millions)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		% Change versus 2Q-10
	2011	2010	
<b>Consolidated new business production analysis:</b>			
Present value of new business production ("PVP")			
Public finance - U.S.:			
Primary markets	\$ 36.0	\$ 72.7	(50.5)%
Secondary markets	8.8	8.7	1.1
Public finance - non-U.S.			
Primary markets	-	-	-
Secondary markets	-	0.7	-
Structured finance - U.S.	7.1	5.7	24.6
Structured finance - non-U.S.	-	2.1	-
Total PVP	51.9	89.9	(42.3)
Less: PVP of credit derivatives	-	-	-
PVP of financial guaranty insurance	51.9	89.9	(42.3)
Less: Financial guaranty installment premium PVP	5.9	8.0	(26.3)
Total: Financial guaranty upfront GWP	46.0	81.9	(43.8)
Plus: Financial guaranty installment PVP adjustment <sup>2</sup>	(29.0)	9.8	NM
<b>Total GWP</b>	<b>\$ 17.0</b>	<b>\$ 91.7</b>	<b>(81.5)%</b>

NM = Not meaningful

1. For an explanation of PVP, a non-GAAP financial measure, please refer to the appendix.

2. Represents present value of new business on installment policies plus GWP adjustment on existing installment deals due to changes in assumptions and any cancellations of assumed reinsurance contracts

# Appendix

## Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Operating Income



(\$ in millions, except per share data)

### Reconciliation of Consolidated Net Income (Loss) to Operating Income<sup>1</sup>

	<u>2Q-11</u>	<u>2Q-10</u>
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$(57.7)</b>	<b>\$203.5</b>
Less: Realized gains (losses) on investments, after tax	(2.8)	(4.3)
Less: Non-credit impairment unrealized fair value gains (losses) on credit derivatives, after tax	(70.0)	40.6
Less: Fair value gains (losses) on committed capital securities, after tax	0.4	8.2
Less: Foreign exchange gains (losses) on revaluation of premiums receivable, after tax	3.8	(19.0)
Less: Effect of consolidating financial guaranty VIEs, after tax	<u>(125.4)</u>	<u>6.0</u>
<b>Operating income</b>	<b><u>\$136.3</u></b>	<b><u>\$172.0</u></b>

### Per Diluted Share

	<u>2Q-11</u>	<u>2Q-10</u>
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$(0.31)</b>	<b>\$1.08</b>
Less: Realized gains (losses) on investments, after tax	(0.01)	(0.02)
Less: Non-credit impairment unrealized fair value gains (losses) on credit derivatives, after tax	(0.38)	0.21
Less: Fair value gains (losses) on committed capital securities, after tax	-	0.04
Less: Foreign exchange gains (losses) on revaluation of premiums receivable, after tax	0.02	(0.10)
Less: Effect of consolidating financial guaranty VIEs, after tax	<u>(0.68)</u>	<u>0.03</u>
<b>Operating income</b>	<b><u>\$0.73</u></b>	<b><u>\$0.91</u></b>

1. The Company revised its definition of operating income in second quarter 2010 to exclude foreign exchange revaluation gains and losses on premium receivable. Three months ended June 30, 2011 are presented on consistent basis.

# Appendix

## Reconciliation of GAAP Book Value to Adjusted Book Value



### Reconciliation of Shareholders' Equity (GAAP Book Value) to Adjusted Book Value

(dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	As of :			
	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Total	Per share	Total	Per share
<b>Shareholders' equity</b>	\$ 3,950.0	\$ 21.44	\$ 3,798.8	\$ 20.67
Less after-tax adjustments:				
Effect of consolidating financial guaranty VIEs	(308.6)	(1.68)	(311.8)	(1.70)
Non-credit impairment unrealized fair value gains (losses) on credit derivatives	(1,035.2)	(5.62)	(764.8)	(4.16)
Fair value gains (losses) on committed capital securities	12.9	0.07	12.2	0.07
Unrealized gain (loss) on investment portfolio excluding foreign exchange effect	152.3	0.83	100.1	0.54
<b>Operating shareholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 5,128.6</b>	<b>\$ 27.84</b>	<b>\$ 4,763.1</b>	<b>\$ 25.92</b>
After-tax adjustments:				
Less: Deferred acquisition costs	238.2	1.29	248.4	1.35
Plus: Net present value of estimated net future credit derivative revenue	353.3	1.92	424.8	2.31
Plus: Net unearned premium reserve on financial guaranty contracts in excess of expected loss to be expensed	3,824.1	20.76	4,059.6	22.09
<b>Adjusted book value</b>	<b>\$ 9,067.8</b>	<b>\$ 49.23</b>	<b>\$ 8,999.1</b>	<b>\$ 48.98</b>

**Assured Guaranty Contacts:**

Robert Tucker  
Managing Director, Investor Relations  
Direct: 212.339.0861  
[rtucker@assuredguaranty.com](mailto:rtucker@assuredguaranty.com)

Ross Aron  
Vice President, Investor Relations  
Direct: 212.261.5509  
[ron@assuredguaranty.com](mailto:ron@assuredguaranty.com)

June 30, 2011  
Equity Investor Presentation

